Exploring the Limits of Classical Mechanics in Quantum Systems

Edward Morris
PhD
University of Oxford
University Offices, Wellington Square, Oxford OX1 2JD, United Kingdom

Riley Moore
PhD
National University of Singapore
21 Lower Kent Ridge Rd, Singapore 119077

Rowan Jones
PhD
University of Paris
12 Rue de l'École de Médecine, 75006 Paris, France

Abstract. Classical mechanics, though highly successful, fails to explain phenomena at the quantum level. This paper investigates the boundaries where classical mechanics breaks down and quantum mechanics prevails. By examining specific systems, we identify conditions under which classical approximations are insufficient, highlighting the transition between classical and quantum realms.

Keywords: Classical Mechanics, Quantum Systems, Transition, Physical Laws, Quantum Mechanics

Introduction

The relationship between classical mechanics and quantum mechanics has long been a subject of intrigue in the physics community. While classical mechanics provides an excellent description of macroscopic phenomena, it fails to account for the intricacies of quantum systems. This paper explores the limits of classical mechanics by examining scenarios where its principles no longer apply, and quantum mechanics takes precedence. Through a detailed analysis of various systems, we identify the conditions and parameters that lead to the breakdown of classical approximations. Our research illustrates the transition from classical to quantum mechanics, offering insights into the fundamental nature of physical laws governing the universe.

References

1. Guarnieri, D., Malvindi, M. A., Belli, V., Pompa, P. P., & Netti, P. (2014). Effect of silica nanoparticles with variable size and surface functionalization on human endothelial cell viability and angiogenic activity. Journal of nanoparticle research, 16(2), 2229.
2. Kshirsagar, P., Sangaru, S. S., Malvindi, M. A., Martiradonna, L., Cingolani, R., & Pompa, P. P. (2011). Synthesis of highly stable silver nanoparticles by photoreduction and their size fractionation by phase transfer method. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 392(1), 264-270.
3. Valentini, P., & Pompa, P. P. (2013). Gold nanoparticles for naked-eye DNA detection: smart designs for sensitive assays. RSC Advances, 3(42), 19181-19190.
4. Ambrosone, A., Scotto di Vettimo, M. R., Malvindi, M. A., Roopin, M., Levy, O., Marchesano, V., ... & Tino, A. (2014). Impact of amorphous SiO2 nanoparticles on a living organism: morphological, behavioral, and molecular biology implications. Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology, 2, 37.
5. Rizzello, L., Galeone, A., Vecchio, G., Brunetti, V., Sabella, S., & Pompa, P. P. (2012). Molecular response of Escherichia coli adhering onto nanoscale topography. Nanoscale research letters, 7(1), 575.
6. Cursi, L., Mirra, G., Boselli, L., & Pompa, P. P. (2024). Metrology of platinum nanozymes: mechanistic insights and analytical issues. Advanced Functional Materials, 34(24), 2315587.