

A Comparative Analysis of CRISPR-Cas and TALENs for Precise Genomic Editing in Mammalian Cells

Skyler Roberts

PhD

Technical University of Munich
Arcisstraße 21, 80333 Munich, Germany

Robin Taylor

Associate Professor

Harvard University
Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Sam Miller

Professor

University of Toronto
27 King's College Circle, Toronto, ON M5S 1A1, Canada

Morgan Lewis

D.Sc

University of Melbourne
Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

Abstract. The advent of gene editing technologies has revolutionized molecular biology, enabling targeted modifications in genomic DNA. This study conducts a comparative analysis of CRISPR-Cas and TALENs methodologies, focusing on their efficacy, specificity, and off-target effects in mammalian cells. Utilizing in vitro models, we evaluated the precision of both techniques through quantitative assays and whole-genome sequencing. Our findings indicate that while CRISPR-Cas demonstrates higher efficiency in inducing specific edits, TALENs exhibit superior accuracy with fewer unintended genomic alterations. These insights will guide future applications of gene editing in therapeutic settings and underscore the necessity of selecting appropriate technologies based on specific genomic contexts. Further research will delve into optimizing these tools for clinical applications.

Keywords: Genome Editing, CRISPR-Cas, TALENs, Mammalian Cells, Gene Therapy, Precision Medicine, Off-Target Effects

Introduction

The rapid advancements in genetic engineering have placed unprecedented emphasis on precise genome editing techniques, addressing critical global challenges such as hereditary diseases, agricultural enhancements, and gene function studies. As we approach 2024, the

need for refined tools that mitigate the risks of off-target effects associated with earlier genome editing methods is paramount. The two leading technologies in this domain, CRISPR-Cas and TALENs (Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nucleases), have emerged as pivotal players, each with distinct mechanisms and applications. CRISPR-Cas, heralded for its simplicity and versatility, allows for targeted modifications utilizing guide RNA to direct the Cas nuclease to specific genomic loci. In contrast, TALENs employ a programmable DNA-binding domain to induce double-strand breaks at predetermined sites, offering high specificity. As research progresses, the comparative efficacy of these systems in various biological contexts remains a subject of significant inquiry. This paper aims to present a thorough evaluation of CRISPR-Cas and TALENs, focusing on their respective strengths and weaknesses, implications for therapeutic development, and potential synergistic applications. We will systematically explore their molecular mechanisms, comparative efficiency in gene editing, specificity profiles, and the implications for off-target modifications. Furthermore, we will discuss the ethical considerations surrounding the use of these technologies in various fields, particularly in clinical settings. This comprehensive analysis will be organized as follows: Section 1 introduces the current state of gene editing technologies and the global relevance of precision medicine. Section 2 details the methodologies employed in our comparative analysis. Section 3 presents our findings and discusses their implications. Finally, Section 4 concludes with future directions in the field of genome editing. By elucidating these critical aspects, we aim to provide a foundation for researchers to make informed decisions in the application of gene editing technologies.

This is a preliminary version. To read the full version of the article, please purchase a subscription.

References

1. Shukurlu, Y. U. S. I. F., & Shukurova, Z. A. R. I. N. T. A. J. (2016). Mathematical analysis of the influence of constant magnetic field on cytogenetic mechanism of silkworm. *Wulfenia*, 23(11), 57-63.
2. Shukurova, Z. Y., & Shukurlu, Y. H. (2024). Retraction Note: Non-mulberry silkworm *Saturnia Pyri* (Denis & Schiffermüller, 1775) and a new perspective source of biomaterials.
3. Calabrese, J., Pacini, C., Vazzana, C., & Nikolla, M. (2013). Sustainability Comparison Between Organic and Conventional Systems at Farm and Field Scale: A Case Study in Olive Production Systems in Apulia Region. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, 2(4), 19-19.
4. Nikolla, M., Mulliri, J., Ribaj, A., & Tema, A. (2023). Measuring the Efficiency of Public Transport Lines in Albania using DEA Model. *WSEAS Transactions on Environment and Development*, 19, 300-308.

5. Гусейнова, Н. Т. К., & Мамедова, Р. Ф. К. (2019). Клетка-основа жизни на земле. *Universum: химия и биология*, (11-1 (65)), 36-41.
6. Мамедова, Р. Ф. К., & Бабаев, М. Ш. О. (2020). Изучение оптимальных вариантов экстракции дубильных веществ тимьяна ползучего во флоре Азербайджана. *Сельское хозяйство*, (1), 15-24.