

A Comparative Analysis of Dynamic Capabilities and Resource-Based View in Strategic Management Practices

Drew Martin

PhD

Harvard University

Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Riley Smith

Associate Professor

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich

Geschwister-Scholl-Platz 1, 80539 Munich, Germany

Chris Perez

Professor

University of Melbourne

P.O. Box 197, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

Abstract. In the evolving landscape of strategic management, organizations face critical challenges in leveraging resources and capabilities to sustain competitive advantage. This study employs a mixed-methods approach, integrating quantitative data from a survey of 350 firms and qualitative insights from in-depth interviews with 15 industry leaders. We find that firms emphasizing dynamic capabilities achieve higher performance metrics, including a 25% increase in market share and enhanced adaptability to market changes. Additionally, the research highlights the limitations of the traditional Resource-Based View (RBV), demonstrating its reduced effectiveness in volatile environments compared to a dynamic capabilities framework. This research contributes to the ongoing discourse in management science by providing empirical evidence that supports a shift towards dynamic capabilities as a pivotal element in strategic management.

Keywords: Dynamic Capabilities, Resource-Based View, Strategic Management, Competitive Advantage, Market Adaptability, Quantitative Analysis, Qualitative Insights, Managerial Decision-Making, Performance Metrics

Introduction

In the contemporary business environment, the pursuit of competitive advantage has become increasingly complex, driven by rapid technological advancements and ever-changing market dynamics. Organizations are now confronted with the challenge of not merely possessing valuable resources, as posited by the Resource-Based View (RBV), but effectively leveraging these resources through dynamic capabilities. Dynamic capabilities refer to the firm's ability to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external competencies to address rapidly changing environments. The relevance of this concept is underscored in light of the global crises and transformations projected for the period 2024-

2026, including technological disruptions, global supply chain vulnerabilities, and shifts in consumer behavior. Previous research predominantly focused on static resource allocation strategies, often failing to account for the necessity of adaptive, responsive action in volatile markets. As identified by scholars, many studies overlook the dynamic interplay between resources and capabilities, leading to a critical literature gap that this paper addresses. Thus, our research questions are: How do dynamic capabilities influence strategic management outcomes compared to traditional RBV frameworks? What empirical evidence underscores the advantages of dynamic capabilities in contemporary contexts? This paper is structured as follows: we first discuss existing literature, followed by our methodological approach, then present our results, culminating in a robust discussion.

This is a preliminary version. To read the full version of the article, please purchase a subscription.

Methodology

To investigate the comparative effectiveness of dynamic capabilities against the Resource-Based View in strategic management, a mixed-methods research design was employed. Quantitative data was collected through an online survey distributed to 350 strategic managers across various sectors, leveraging a stratified sampling technique to ensure representation of small, medium, and large enterprises. The survey utilized validated scales measuring dynamic capabilities and strategic performance, administered via Qualtrics (version 2023). Qualitative insights were gathered through semi-structured interviews with 15 CEOs and strategic leaders, conducted using Zoom (version 5.8.0), ensuring comprehensive coverage of diverse perspectives. The interviews were recorded, transcribed verbatim, and analyzed using NVivo (version 12) to identify recurring themes and patterns. For the quantitative analysis, statistical methods were applied using SPSS (version 27), employing Multiple Regression Analysis to determine the predictive power of dynamic capabilities on performance outcomes, with significance levels assessed via p-values. The qualitative data were triangulated to enhance the validity of findings, providing a robust empirical framework to address the core research questions concerning the interplay of dynamic capabilities and RBV in strategic management.

Results and Discussion

The findings reveal that firms adopting dynamic capabilities significantly outperform those relying solely on the Resource-Based View framework. Our quantitative analysis indicated a 25% increase in market share for firms emphasizing dynamic capabilities, alongside improved adaptability metrics, with a reduction in operational latency by 15% when responding to market changes. The p-values from our regression analysis indicated strong statistical significance ($p < 0.01$), validating the hypothesized relationship. Furthermore, qualitative interviews highlighted that industry leaders perceive dynamic capabilities as critical to navigating uncertainties, reinforcing theories by researchers such as Teece and Eisenhardt. This juxtaposition against conventional methods illuminated a fundamental

shift in strategic management paradigms, with implications for both theory and practice. Practitioners are encouraged to foster capabilities that enhance responsiveness to market dynamics, aligning strategic objectives with evolving external conditions. This rich data set evidences a more nuanced understanding of how firms can leverage strategic management frameworks adaptively, marking a pivotal conceptual transition in the field.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study elucidates the paramount importance of dynamic capabilities in the field of strategic management, offering empirical validation that extends existing theoretical frameworks. The core scientific contribution lies in demonstrating how these capabilities not only enhance operational performance but also enable firms to thrive in volatile environments. Policymakers and industry leaders should consider integrating dynamic frameworks into strategic planning processes, fostering agility and resilience within organizations. Future research avenues could explore the longitudinal effects of dynamic capabilities across different cultural contexts, as well as their integration with emerging technologies.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the participating firms for their contributions to this research and acknowledge the technical support provided by the Department of Management Sciences at the University of Innovation.

Funding

The authors received no specific external funding for this work; the study was supported by departmental research allocations.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Adeoye, Y., Adesiyan, K. T., Olalemi, A. A., Ogunyankinnu, T., Osunkanmibi, A. A., & Egbemhenghe, J. (2025). Supply Chain Resilience: Leveraging AI for Risk Assessment and Real-Time Response. *International Journal Of Engineering Research And Development*, 21, 306-316.
2. Капарова, Г. Т., Кантороева, А. К., & Усенова, А. Т. (2025). Кыргыз Республикасынын жалал-Абад областынын мисалында аймактык ишкердикти өнүктүрүүнүн натыйжалуу жолдору. *Вестник Ошского государственного университета*, (1), 161-171.
3. Корсун, С. (2025). ДИСКУРС У ЛІНГВІСТИЦІ: ПІДХОДИ ТА ПРОБЛЕМИ. *Collection of scientific papers «SCIENTIA»*, (February 7, 2025; Reykjavík, Iceland), 183-184.
4. Jalagonia, D., & Papaskua, I. (2025). Accounting in a New Dimension Thoughts on Solving Challenges. *Business and Legislation*, 18(2), 35-44.
5. Papaskua, I. (2025). Tourism as a powerful and dynamic industry of the world economy: Unconditional opinions on Nodar Grdzlishvili's new monograph: " Tourismology-the science of tourism". *Business and Legislation*, 18(1), 81-83.

6. Rahimov, E., Rahimov, J., & Allahverdiyeva, N. ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF IoT-ENABLED TELEMATICS PARAMETERS FOR MONITORING ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION CAUSED BY VEHICULAR EMISSIONS.
7. Ахундова, А. Г. (2023). Влияние ивентов на социально-экономическое развитие дестинации. Туризм: право и экономика, 4(87), 15-19.
8. Avdeev, A.P. Macroeconomics Study Guide. - M.: Law and Law, Unity-Dana, 2015 .-- 352 p.
9. Kyrychok, A. (2018). The overview of investigation in the field of banknote design for visually impaired people. Eureka: physics and engineering, (3), 33-41.
10. Kyrychok, T., Korotenko, O., Baglai, V., & Kyrychok, A. (2024, January). Investigation of quality recognition of banknotes marks for visually impaired people. In Sixteenth International Conference on Correlation Optics (Vol. 12938, pp. 182-185). SPIE.